# APUSH DBQ RUBRIC:

wame: .	 	 
DBQ:		
<del>_</del> _	 	 

#### CONTEXTUALIZATION

ם	escribes a broader historical context relevant to the prompt.	1	
h c	to earn this point, the response must relate the topic of the prompt to broader istorical events, developments, or processes that occur before, during, or continue after the time frame of the question. This point is not awarded for nerely a phrase or a reference.		

### THESIS/ CLAIM

Responds to the prompt with a historically defensible thesis/claim that establishes a line of reasoning.	2	
To earn this point, the thesis must make a claim that responds to the prompt, rather than merely restating or rephrasing the prompt. The thesis should be		
located in one place (end of intro. paragraph).		

# DOCS, EVIDENCE, & ANALYSIS

DOC	DESCRIBES	SUPPORTS	EXPLAINS	Accurately <u>DESCRIBES</u> the content of at least THREE documents to address the topic of the prompt. Quoting from the docs. will be insufficient to earn this point.	3
DOC					
DOC				SUPPORTS an argument in response to the prompt using at least SIX	4
DOC				documents. These documents should meet (and exceed) the standard set for the description point.	
DOC				Extended analysis: For at least THREE documents, EXPLAINS	5
DOC				HOW or WHY the document's point of view, purpose, historical	
DOC				situation, and/or audience is relevant to an argument.	

rubric continued on reverse

#### ANALYSIS CONTINUED

Uses at least one additional piece of specific historical evidence (beyond that found in the documents) relevant to an argument about the prompt.

The response must describe the evidence and must use more than a phrase or

reference. This additional piece of evidence must be different from the evidence used to earn the point for contextualization.

Demonstrates a <u>complex understanding</u> of the historical development that is the focus of the prompt, using evidence to corroborate, qualify, or modify an argument that addresses the question.

7

To earn the second point, the response must demonstrate a complex understanding, which must be part of the argument and not merely a phrase or reference. This could include:

- Explaining nuance by analyzing multiple variables
- Explaining both similarity and difference, both continuity and change, or multiple causes, or both causes and effects
- Explaining relevant and insightful connections within and across periods
- Confirming the validity of an argument by corroborating multiple perspectives across themes
- Qualifying or modifying an argument by considering diverse or alternative views or evidence

## TOTAL POINTS:

2 = F(69), 1 = F(50)

/7

#### Grammar, Mechanics, Organization, & Rules of Formal Writing

Thesis needs to be better organized Thesis needs to be more concise	Stronger analysis makes this a stronger essay
Thesis needs more clarity	Stronger organization makes this a stronger essay
Conclusion needs to be better organized	Argument tends to be redundant, needs a more expansive
Conclusion needs to be more concise Conclusion needs more clarity	treatment of the topic
	Do not use personal pronouns, "I,"
Facts provided are too general in nature	"our," "we," "us," "you," etc., statements
Contains imbalance response to the parts of the question	Do not use write in colloquial or casual style prose ("writing like you talk")
Relies too much on the documents	Do not use extreme statements
Documents need to be used in a	(always, ever, never, none, etc.)
more substantial manner	Do not use abbreviations, symbols,
Do not use leading clauses to	or contractions
address the documents (As seen	
in, Doc X shows that, etc.)	Conversion to grades:
Do not directly quote from the	10 = A (100), 9 = A- (92),
documents	8 = B+ (89), 7 = B (86), 6 = B- (82),
	5 = C + (79), 4 = C (76),
	3 = D (72),