## APUSH DBQ RUBRIC:

Name: $\qquad$

DBQ: $\qquad$

## CONTEXTUALIZATION

| Describes a broader historical context relevant to the prompt. | 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| To earn this point, the response must relate the topic of the prompt to broader |  |  |
| historical events, developments, or processes that occur before, during, or |  |  |
| continue after the time frame of the question. This point is not awarded for |  |  |
| merely a phrase or a reference. |  |  |

## THESIS/ CLAIM

Responds to the prompt with a historically defensible thesis/claim that establishes a line of reasoning.

To earn this point, the thesis must make a claim that responds to the prompt, rather than merely restating or rephrasing the prompt. The thesis should be located in one place (end of intro. paragraph).

DOCS, EVIDENCE, \& ANALYSIS

rubric continued on reverse

## ANALYSIS CONTINUED

Uses at least one additional piece of specific historical evidence (beyond that
found in the documents) relevant to an argument about the prompt.

The response must describe the evidence and must use more than a phrase or reference. This additional piece of evidence must be different from the evidence used to earn the point for contextualization.

Demonstrates a complex understanding of the historical development that is the focus of the prompt, using evidence to corroborate, qualify, or modify an argument that addresses the question.

To earn the second point, the response must demonstrate a complex understanding, which must be part of the argument and not merely a phrase or reference. This could include:

- Explaining nuance by analyzing multiple variables
- Explaining both similarity and difference, both continuity and change, or multiple causes, or both causes and effects
- Explaining relevant and insightful connections within and across periods
- Confirming the validity of an argument by corroborating multiple perspectives across themes
- Qualifying or modifying an argument by considering diverse or alternative views or evidence

Grammar, Mechanics, Organization, \& Rules of Formal Writing

$\qquad$ Thesis needs to be better organized
Thesis needs to be more concise
Thesis needs more clarity
Conclusion needs to be better organized
Conclusion needs to be more concise
Conclusion needs more clarity
$\qquad$ Facts provided are too general in nature
$\qquad$ Contains imbalance response to the parts of the question

Relies too much on the documents
Documents need to be used in a more substantial manner
Do not use leading clauses to address the documents (As seen in..., Doc X shows that..., etc.)
$\qquad$ Do not directly quote from the documents
$\qquad$ Stronger analysis makes this a stronger essay
___ Stronger organization makes this a stronger essay
$\qquad$ Argument tends to be redundant, needs a more expansive treatment of the topic
___ Do not use personal pronouns, "I," "our," "we," "us," "you," etc., statements
$\qquad$ Do not use write in colloquial or casual style prose ("writing like you talk")
$\qquad$ Do not use extreme statements (always, ever, never, none, etc.)
$\qquad$ Do not use abbreviations, symbols, or contractions

Conversion to grades:
10 = A (100), 9 = A- (92),
$8=B+(89), 7=B(86), 6=B-(82)$,
5 = C+ (79), $4=\mathbf{C}$ (76),
3 = D (7ん),
L = F (69), $1=\mathrm{F}$ (50)

